



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,077	10/21/2003	William R. Jones	P24200	6126
7055 75	90 07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			TRAN, QUOC DUC	
RESTON, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005	

Ditte Milibed. 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Anti-us Communication	10/689,077	JONES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quoc D. Tran	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONF	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2005.				
_	action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Application	on No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.			
Attack					
Attachment(s)    X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T 1-4	DTO 440)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 10-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watkins et al (5,850,426).

Consider claim 1, Watkins et al teach an apparatus (Fig. 1: centralize system 116) for communicating with a plurality of disparate systems (Fig. 1: reporting systems 104-114), comprising: a platform that supports a control interface (col. 3 line 66 – col. 4 line 12) and a different user interface for each of the plurality of disparate systems (col. 4 lines 21-55 and Fig. 8), said platform enabling the formatting and transfer (i.e. communicating) of data to each of said plurality of disparate systems (col. 2 lines 29-35; col. 4 lines 21-27).

Consider claim 2, Watkins et al teaches said control interface enabling a user to selectively access each of the different user interfaces (col. 5 lines 1-65).

Consider claim 3, Watkins et al teach where said disparate systems comprising a testing system that performs at least one of remote testing of analog services and testing of ISDN switches (col. 15-25; col. 8 lines 31-39).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 5, Watkins et al teach wherein said platform enabling a user to select at least one of a printer setup routine, an employee activity input routine, a timesheet routine, a control interface parameter selection routine, an alarm routine, a default email setting routine, an exit routine, an activity information backup routine, a work and force administration/control routine that enables the user to further select one of a plurality of activities, a security routine, a windows cascade routine, a help routine, a windows select routine that enables the user to further select one of a plurality of available windows, a save placement routine that enables the user to save a configuration of the platform, a platform information routine, a ticket maintenance routine, a specify ticket routine that enables a user to select a ticket, a specify circuit routine that enables a user to specify a circuit, a trouble report processing routine, a work and force administration and control routine that enables the user to select an information screen associated with a ticket, and a close application routine (col. 7 lines 15-25).

Consider claim 6, Watkins et al teach said platform enabling a user to select one of the different user interfaces and at least one of review and update information associated with a customer authorization request (col. 5 line 58 – col. 6 line 14).

Consider claim 7, Watkins et al teach said different user interfaces each enabling a user to at least one of retrieve and update information associated with one of the plurality of disparate systems (col. 5 line 58 – col. 6 line 14).

Consider claim 8, Watkins et al teach an apparatus (Fig. 1: centralize system 116) for integrating a plurality of maintenance and testing systems that communicate with a plurality of disparate telecommunications systems (Fig. 1: reporting systems 104-114; col. 7 lines 6-31), comprising: a platform that supports a control interface (col. 3 line 66 – col. 4 line 12) and a

Art Unit: 2643

different user interface for each of the plurality of disparate telecommunications systems (col. 4 lines 21-55 and Fig. 8-9), said platform permitting parallel asynchronous testing of at least two of said disparate telecommunications systems that are connected to the platform (col. 7 lines 41-56; col. 8 lines 22-39).

Consider claim 9, Watkins et al teach where said platform performing at least one of remote testing of analog services and testing of ISDN switches in accordance with vendor-specific criteria (col. 15-25; col. 8 lines 31-39).

Consider claim 10, Watkins et al teach where each of said different user interfaces comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) that facilitates at least one of retrieving data and entering data (Fig. 8-9).

Consider claim 11, Watkins et al teach where said platform sending and receiving data between said platform and said disparate telecommunications systems (col. 7 lines 57-67).

Consider claim 12, Watkins et al teach where said control interface enabling a user to access each of the different user interfaces (col. 5 lines 1-65).

Consider claim 13, Watkins et al teach where said different user interfaces each enabling a user to at least one of retrieve and update information associated with one of the plurality of disparate telecommunications systems (col. 5 line 58 – col. 6 line 14).

Consider claim 14, Watkins et al teach a computer readable medium for storing a program (Fig. 1: centralize system 116; col. 3 lines 60-65) that integrates a plurality of maintenance and testing systems that communicate with a plurality of disparate telecommunications systems (Fig. 1: reporting systems 104-114; col. 7 lines 6-31), comprising: a plurality of different user interfaces each communicating with one of the plurality of disparate

Art Unit: 2643

telecommunications systems, said plurality of different user interfaces interoperating with the plurality of disparate telecommunications systems (col. 4 lines 21-55 and Fig. 8-9); and a control interface (col. 3 line 66 – col. 4 line 12), said control interface enabling the formatting and transfer of data from to each of said plurality of disparate systems (col. 2 lines 29-35; col. 4 lines 21-27), said control interface enabling a user to access each of the different user interfaces (col. 5 lines 1-65).

Consider claim 15, Watkins et al teach where said disparate systems including a testing system that performs at least one of remote testing of analog services and testing of ISDN switches (col. 15-25; col. 8 lines 31-39).

Consider claim 17, Watkins et al teach wherein said control interface enabling a user to select at least one of a printer setup routine, an employee activity input routine, a timesheet routine, a common user interface parameter selection routine, an alarm routine, a default email setting routine, an exit routine, an activity information backup routine, a work and force administration/control routine that enables the user to further select one of a plurality of activities, a security routine, a windows cascade routine, a help routine, a windows select routine that enables the user to further select one of a plurality of available windows, a save placement routine that enables the user to save a configuration of the platform, a platform information routine, a ticket maintenance routine, a specify ticket routine that enables a user to select a ticket, a specify circuit routine that enables a user to specify a circuit, a trouble report processing routine, a work and force administration and control routine that enables the user to select an information screen associated with a ticket, and a close application routine (col. 7 lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 2643

Consider claim 18, Watkins et al teach where said control interface enabling a user to select one of the different user interfaces and at least one of review and update information associated with a customer authorization request (col. 5 line 58 – col. 6 line 14).

Consider claim 19, Watkins et al teach where said different user interfaces each enabling a user to at least one of retrieve and update information associated with one of the plurality of disparate systems (col. 5 line 58 – col. 6 line 14).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins et al (5,850,426) in view of Zey (5,796,953).

Consider claims 4 and 16, Watkins et al suggested of UNIX operating system (col. 8 lines 40-53), which is known to require user login for using the terminal. Watkins et al did not specifically suggest a user login system that logs a user onto said control interface. However, Zey suggested such (col. 5 lines 1-8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Zey into view of Watkins et al in order to prevent unauthorized access.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2643

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:
Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

QUOCTRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

July 20, 2005

AU 2643